Simulation of LhARA

31/03/2020

William Shields

LhARA Simulations

- MADX and BeamOptics used for calculating lattice optical functions
  - Idealistic machine description
  - End-to-end simulations to evaluate machine performance

- Two pronged approach:

GPT: General Particle Tracer

- Space charge effects

BDSIM: Beam Delivery Simulation

- Particle-matter interactions
- Materials and apertures
- Beam losses
- Energy deposition & dosimetry
- Visualisation

LhARA Visualised in BDSIM

- Simulate individual machine sections
  - Cannot inject/extract
  - Geometry overlaps

- FFA magnets represented by dipoles
  - More complex in reality



LhARA Stage 1 Model

- Gabor lenses for focusing in both planes
  - Simulated as solenoids +30 cm to accommodate physical length
    - Provides contingency option
- 2m drift added for transport through concrete shielding, to a bench-height target
  - May increase depending on shielding thickness and stage 2 vertical in vitro beam line
- No RF fields simulated
- Assumed 10cm aperture.



Idealised Beam

- Assumed ideal beam for lattice optimization
    - Beam width (1.7 µm), pulse duration (25 fs), divergence (50 mrad)
    - Maximum of 10^9 protons per shot (100 pC)
        - Contaminants (e-, ions) will reduce bunch charge
        - Unknown composition - assume maximum as worst case scenario

- Charge density causes an immediate emittance growth
    - Estimate beams wider than 0.1 mm experience diminishing space charge effects

- Simulate between 5-10 cm with space charge
    - Within the confines of the laser target housing
    - 10000 particles in all simulations



Optical Validation





- Excellent agreement between MADX and BDSIM

- Excellent agreement between and GPT without space charge.

ROYAL HOLLOWAY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON
John Adams Institute for Accelerator Science
CCAP Centre for the Clinical Application of Particles
Imperial College London

Optical Performance

- Reasonable agreement seen between BDSIM and GPT with space charge
- Further emittance growth prior to the first Gabor lens
  - Divergent beam at the end station
- Capture section Gabor lenses can be tweaked
- Focus in both transverse planes after third Gabor Lens still a concern



End Station Idealised Phase Space



- Gaussian beam delivered to the end station

- Aberrations arising in the Gabor lenses cause ‘butterfly’ shape seen in the transverse phase space

- Near 100% transmission.

Phase Space Post Beam Shaping



- Spatial uniformity observed
  - Arbitrary octupole strengths, collimator aperture of 4 cm diameter
  - Square distribution typical of such schemes
- Approx. 70% beam line transmission
  - Almost all losses in the collimator, minimal secondaries reach end station.
- Further simulation effort required
  - Optimise octupole and collimator locations, strengths, and apertures.

Laser-Target Simulation Derived Beam

- Beam generated with EPOCH
  - Energy cuts of 10-15 MeV.
  - Low population at design energy

- Large distributions at the end station
  - Magnets set for 15 MeV, significant losses of off-energy particles

10-15 MeV



- Kinetic energy cut of 15 MeV $\pm$ 2% shows poor statistics
  - Approx. 2% transmission
  - Indicative of Gaussian distribution.

15 MeV ± 2%



End Station Simulations



- Energy deposition in end station target materials with BDSIM (H.T. Lau)
  - Investigate the Bragg peak location relative to the expected position of the cell layer

- Three monoenergetic idealised beams
  - 12 MeV beam yielded the Bragg peak closest to the cell layer



- Cell transmission with EPOCH derived beam (12 MeV ± 2%)
  - Total energy deposited in the cell layer of 9.63×10^{-6} Gy,
  - Maximum dose per pulse (10^9 protons) of about 5.16 Gy.

ROYAL HOLLOWAY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON

John Adams Institute for Accelerator Science

CCAP Centre for the Clinical Application of Particles

Imperial College London

Stage 2 Simulations

- Potential factor 10 variation in emittance
  - Extraction energy at 40 or 127.4 MeV
  - Space charge is still a concern

- Optics configurations to deliver beam between 1 and 30 mm.





Stage 2 simulations performed by H.T. Lau.

Stage 2 In Vitro Beam Line



- Successful tracking through full extraction line and vertical in vitro line
  - Full width beam size within 1-3cm target.

- Simulations (spot size = 3cm) shows optical performance appears minimally affected by space charge

- Lower spot size configuration (1cm) affected due to smaller beam size
  - Can be compensated in extraction line.





ROYAL HOLLOWAY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON

Stage 2 In Vivo Beam Line



- Optics configurations to deliver beam between 1 and 30 mm.
  - Assumed initial $\beta_{x,y}$ = 46m.
- Beam smaller than 1mm is possible, but it is non-parallel
  - Repercussions for scanning magnets
- All configurations at 40 MeV and 127 MeV are affected by space charge
  - Further fine tuning required.





ROYAL HOLLOWAY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON

Summary

- 1-3cm uniform dose is deliverable to the stage 1 in vitro end station
  - Space charge has an impact optical performance
  - Further optimization is required

- Physically representative beam delivered to the end station
  - Large energy variation results in losses
  - Further simulations will improve statistics

- Flexibility in the Stage 2 in vitro and in vivo beam lines
  - Further optimization required
  - Improve beam quality for in vivo spot scanning

- Well placed to improve models and accuracy
  - Gabor lens field maps to replace solenoids
  - RF fields

Backup Slides

Stage 1 Alternative Design

- Replace Gabor lenses with four quadrupoles
  - Single plane focus
  - Octupoles shifted to optimum locations

- Significant performance from Initial emittance growth
  - 15 MeV ideal beam
  - Larger beam parameters at entrance of first quadrupole

- Improved performance with capture section Gabor lens tweaks