01/2024-04/2024

Modelling the Bystander Effect in Proton SFRT



Daniel Puerta Prof. Kenneth Long Prof. Marta Anguiano





UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA



Bystander Effect in Spatially Fractionated Radiotherapy

* Bystander effect (RIBE): "Ionising radiation induced non-targeted effects in nonirradiated cells within or nearby an irradiated volume" [Wang et al., 2018]

Evidence of RIBE in **proton** irradiation [Mukherjee and Chakraborty, 2019; Pouget et al., 2018]

Evidence of RIBE in **partial** particle irradiation [Shao et al., 2006]

* There is evidence of RIBE in **proton SFRT** in some cell lines (A549) [Autsavapromporn et al., 2023].





Preliminary Experimental Results

* J. McGarrigle —> FaDu cells



Possible Signalling Agents

* RIBE due to communication between irradiated and non-irradiated cells through signalling agents [Klammer et al., 2015].

- * Examples of candidates [Marín et al., 2015]:
 - * **Small species**: free radicals, reactive oxygen species (ROS), Ca²⁺ and nitrogen oxide (NO).
 - * Large species: cytokines, exosomes and other proteins.

ROS in Water Radiolysis

 Radiolysis is a fast channel of chemical production.

Used TOPAS and TOPAS nBio.

* Focus on H_2O_2 .

Image: Masilela 2023, PhD thesis.



Simulation Details

* Based on T. Masilela's set-up.



Beams: 0.4 x 6 mm²; ctc: 3.2 mm

Simulation Results



* $t = 10^{-6}$ s



* Biological stage is late.

* Will consider spatial evolution of H_2O_2 as a function of time.



Image: Masilela 2023, PhD thesis.

Neglecting Homogenous Chemical Stage

TOPAS-nBio cannot
 consider the
 homogenous
 chemical stage.

 Free diffusion shows that the spatial distribution doesn't change in < 1s.



Image: Masilela 2023, PhD thesis.

Neglecting Homogenous Chemical Stage

 Free diffusion is implemented using Smoluchowski diffusion theory
 [Karamitros et al., 2014].

 Each molecule generated during the simulation will be transported using these equations.

$$\hat{x}(au) = x_0 + \sqrt{2D\cdot au}\cdot \hat{x}$$
 $\hat{y}(au) = y_0 + \sqrt{2D\cdot au}\cdot \hat{z}$
 $\hat{z}(au) = z_0 + \sqrt{2D\cdot au}\cdot \hat{z}$







11



0.00

Neglecting Homogeneous Chemical Stage

* We assume that the stage takes place because free diffusion is slow enough.

* We assume that H₂O₂ concentration's evolution is homogeneous in space because the material is homogeneous.

* We assume that some H_2O_2 survives the stage and remains stable.





Neglecting Homogeneous Chemical Stage

* i.e.: We assume this spatial distribution is valid.

* Most likely with different absolute values but (sort of) the same proportion.





Transport of H₂O₂ After Generation

* Add a probability for survival to molecules transported using Smoluchowski free diffusion theory.

* Probability of survival = $e^{-k\tau}$

Will consider the **time** when the concentration is spatially homogenous.

$$\hat{x}(\tau) = x_0 + \sqrt{2D \cdot \tau} \cdot \hat{\xi}_z$$
$$\hat{y}(\tau) = y_0 + \sqrt{2D \cdot \tau} \cdot \hat{\xi}_z$$
$$\hat{z}(\tau) = z_0 + \sqrt{2D \cdot \tau} \cdot \hat{\xi}_z$$



$Transport \ of \ H_2O_2 \ After \ Generation$

* Based on background pseudo first-order reactions, for concentration of H_2O_2 , $\phi(x, y)$, add exponential decay:

$$\frac{d\Phi(x, y, \tau)}{d\tau} = -k\Phi(x)$$

* *k* is the (pseudo) first order **rate constant**.

* In Zhang et al. 2023, $k = 2 \cdot 10^{-1}$, $2 \cdot 10^{-2}$, $2 \cdot 10^{-3} s^{-1}$ based on cell absorption.

 x, y, τ) -> $\Phi = \phi e^{-k\tau}$

Model for Transport - $k = 2.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$

Free diffusion

H2O2^0 t = 600 s



Free diffusion + exponential decay

H2O2^0 t = 600 s





- 0.0045

- 0.0040
- 0.0035
- Count Count
- 0.0015
- 0.0010
- 0.0005

Model for Transport - $k = 2.3 \cdot 10^{-2}$

Free diffusion

H2O2^0



* Free diffusion + exponential decay

17





0.0

* Time to achieve homogenous concentration will depend on valley width.

* Type of SFRT may influence the impact that H₂O₂ has.

Physical Limits of H₂O₂ range

* T. Masilela —> **Minibeam -** size: 0.4 x 6 mm² ; ctc: 3.2 mm

H2O2^0



H2O2^0 t = 550 s



* J. McGarrigle —> Microbeam - size: 0.1 x 8 mm² ; ctc: 0.5 mm H2O2^0





* Pdf for distance travelled by H₂O₂ after some time.

Probability densit * For homogeneous valley coverage: $\bar{r} \sim VW$

0.0 -

* Condition satisfied for several cases.

Time of diffusion = 60 s



* Analytical probability density function:

$$p(r,t) = \frac{4\pi r^2}{(4\pi Dt)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4Dt}\right)$$

* Average can be calculated analytically.

$$\bar{r}(t) = 4\sqrt{\frac{Dt}{\pi}}$$



H₂O₂ as RIBE Signalling Agent

* Short half-life: ~50 ms [Orrico et al., 2022], 2.2 s [Ledo et al., 2022]

[Deckrock et al., 2017].

* We studied a possible mechanism for this phenomenon involving Ca²⁺.

* "May give rise to long-lived radicals that have half-lives of minutes to hours"

Cell Models for the Bystander Effect

- specific concentrations as input:
 - 1. Matsuya et al. 2018
 - 2. McMahon et al. 2013

* There is a lack of experimental quantitative data on RIBE agent production.

* Reviewed models for cell experiments involving RIBE which do not require

Results McMahon et al. 2013

* Model is originally applied to 3 examples: uniform irradiation, media transfer experiments, partial irradiation.

* Originally developed for photons, adapted it to protons.

[Guan et al. 2015].

* Managed to use this model for proton cell **uniform irradiation** experiments

Results McMahon et al. 2013



Prospects

* Use McMahon 2013 for other proton irradiation settings.

* Try to include our studies on signal transport in this model and remove fitting parameters.

* Research experimental data on FaDu RIBE (signals and their production).

* Simulated ROS generated after irradiation.

* Analysed the behaviour of H_2O_2 after $t > 10^{-6}$ s including removal.

* Compared how beam arrangement can influence H_2O_2 's impact.

* Found model for cells survival including RIBE, suitable for our experiments.





Extra Slides

References

- * [Autsavapromporn et al., 2023] Primary and secondary bystander effects of proton microbeam irradiation on human lung cancer cells under hypoxic conditions. Biology, 12(12):1485.
- (BBA) Molecular Cell Research, 1864(6):1099–1120.
- doi.org/10.1038/srep09850
- * [Karamitros et al. 2014] Diffusion-controlled reactions modeling in Geant4-DNA
- * [Marín et al., 2015] Bystander effects and radiotherapy. Reports of Practical Oncology; Radiotherapy, 20(1):12–21.
- 10.1038/s41598-018-23202-y
- * [McMahon et al. 2013] A kinetic-based model of radiation-induced intercellular signalling. PloS one, 8(1), e54526. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054526
- 263.
- * [Shao et al., 2006] Calcium fluxes modulate the radiation-induced bystander responses in targeted glioma and fibroblast cells. Radiation Research, 166(3):479–487.
- * [Wang et al., 2018] Molecular mechanism of bystander effects and related abscopal/cohort effects in cancer therapy. Oncotarget, 9(26):18637–18647
- Physics, 50(8):5262–5272.

* [Decrock et al., 2017] Calcium, oxidative stress and connexin channels, a harmonious orchestra directing the response to radiotherapy treatment? Biochimica et Biophysica Acta * [Guan et al. 2015] Spatial mapping of the biologic effectiveness of scanned particle beams: towards biologically optimized particle therapy. Sci Rep 5, 9850 (2015). https://

* [Klammer et al., 2015] Bystander effects as manifestation of intercellular communication of dna damage and of the cellular oxidative status. Cancer Letters, 356(1):58–71.

* [Matsuya et al. 2018] Integrated Modelling of Cell Responses after Irradiation for DNA-Targeted Effects and Non-Targeted Effects. Sci Rep 8, 4849 (2018). https://doi.org/

* [Mukherjee and Chakraborty, 2019] Radiation-induced bystander phenomenon: insight and implications in radiotherapy. International Journal of Radiation Biology, 95(3):243–

* [Orrico et al., 2022] The permeability of human red blood cell membranes to hydrogen peroxide is independent of aquaporins. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 298(1):101503. * [Zhang et al., 2023] A theoretical study of h2o2 as the surrogate of dose in minibeam radiotherapy, with a diffusion model considering radical removal process. Medical



Ca²⁺ Signalling in RIBE

- * Important signalling species.
- * Generated during all sorts of irradiation.
- * Positive feedback loop with ROS.
- * Ca²⁺-waves phenomenon [Deckrock et al., 2017].

- Quantity generated by direct radiation or by ROS?
- Removal?
- Half-life?

()ther Research Not Included

* Study of Model for Transport I done for other radiolysis products.

* Other Model for Transport studied, has advantages over Model I.

* Found *k* values from experimental data.

* Study of homogenous coverage done for several beam arrays.

* Reviewed several Ca²⁺ transport models and its impact on RIBE.

Cell Models for the Bystander Effect

* Matsuya, Y., Sasaki, K., Yoshii, Y. et al. Integrated Modelling of Cell

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054526

Responses after Irradiation for DNA-Targeted Effects and Non-Targeted Effects. Sci Rep 8, 4849 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23202-y

* McMahon, S. J., Butterworth, K. T., Trainor, C., McGarry, C. K., O'Sullivan, J. M., Schettino, G., Hounsell, A. R., & Prise, K. M. (2013). A kinetic-based model of radiation-induced intercellular signalling. PloS one, 8(1), e54526.