(some) 15 MeV protons at the MC40 cyclotron

Dr Tony Price University of Birmingham ITRF/LhARA Bi-Weekly meeting 16th July 2024

MC40 Cyclotron

- Variable RF allows proton energys from ~3-36 MeV to be extracted from the cyclotron
- Bread and butter 28 MeV for radiobiology, krypton production, ATLAS high intensity irradiator etc.
- 12 way switching magnet selects end-station with two beam lines extracted from the vault to experimental rooms.
- BL4 serves radiobiology, detector testing, and nuclear structure experiments

Geant4 Simulation

- Geant4 simulation developed over numerous years and validated versus measurements at 36 and 28 MeV
 - Bragg peaks
 - \circ GafChromic Films
 - Silicon measurements
- Includes magnets, collimators, 80um Ta scattering foil, exit windows, ionisation chambers + whatever experiment is running
- Recent examples are WP3 scintillators, WP4 acoustic chamber, WP5 gas profiler
- Now able to run multithreaded with ROOT output (thanks to WP4 geometry...)

Bragg Peak Measurements

- Beam current monitored using ionisation chamber (IC)
- Known material (thickness and composition) placed down stream of the IC
- Marcus Chamber (MC) with calibration from NPL down stream of material to calculate dose rates
- Ratio of beam current in the IC and MC plotted as a function of depth to map out Bragg Peak



Figure 3: DDP for a nominal 29 MeV proton beam overlaid with simulation corresponding to the best initial parameters of 28.80 \pm 0.15 MeV



Figure 4: DDP for a nominal 36 MeV proton beam overlaid with simulation corresponding to the best initial parameters of 36.15 ± 0.15 MeV

Beam Profiles and divergence

- Model validated vs RCF measurements
- different distances from the collimator to evaluate divergence
- and with various amounts of material to validate scattering



Microbeams (Elisa Gazzera)

100um slits wire eroded in 2mm Ta500um centre-to-centre spacingDesigned for max PVDR at entrance and uniform at BP



Figure 3.10 – Experimental setup of the air measurements. The reflection of the taped collimator can be noticed in the CMOS.



Figure 3.13 – Example of an average dark corrected data frame depicting the profile of the microbeams, together with its vertical and horizontal projections.

Microbeams (Elisa Gazzera)



Distance [mm]

frame (R). The coloured dashed curves represent either the Gaussian or the 4^{th} order polynomial fit functions of the peaks and the valleys. The first set of images corresponds to a 0 mm distance, while the second refers to a 20 mm one.

Microbeams (Elisa Gazzera)



Figure 3.16 – Average profile of the microbeams in air (L) and corresponding average dose distribution per frame (R). The coloured dashed curves represent either the Gaussian or the 4^{th} order polynomial fit functions of the peaks and the valleys. The first set of images corresponds to a 0 *mm* distance, while the second refers to a 20 *mm* one.



Microbeams onto cells

Elisa showed possible to see damage in streaks



Josie showed potential migration. Work to be repeated September 2024



15 MeV Validation

- During the work with WP3 recently
- Wanted 15 MeV onto sample. Optimal energy was 18 MeV but cyclotron issues and time constraints meant we ran 20 MeV + 0.5mm PMMA shim
- Scattered beam with 80um Ta
- Energy onto sample 15.5 MeV (close enough!)
- Bragg Peak found using 100um mylar shims and 12.5um Al.
- Geometry matched to WP3 experiments.
- Beam profile 50mm diameter and uniform measured on RCF.



End-station simulations

- Shown at previous collaboration meetings
- End-station components being tested
 - $\circ\,$ environmental boxes,
 - $\circ\,$ cell dishes,
 - $\circ\,\,\text{gas}$ profiler,
 - \circ RCF
- Can extract
 - $\circ\,$ Beam profiles
 - \circ Energies
 - LETs
 - $\,\circ\,$ Particle species and energy deposits
- New: ability to change from gaussian profile (MC40) to wider distributions (LhARA)
- Results to be evaluated for changes for 24 month report.

Dose Rate measurements

- Experimental campaign with NPL
- Utilised the Secondary Standard Calorimeter.
 - Designed to be size of Roos chamber
 - Could be used in clinical QA
 - \circ aim of it being traceable to NPL
- Also experimental TransCal designed by S. Flynn to measure FLASH doses
 - Thin which minimally perturbs the beam
 - None of the temperature shielding of the calorimeters so best suited for high dose rates
- Beam current monitored using lonisation chamber and/or Faraday cup depending on dose rates.
- Publication under review

Experimental Setup 1





Dose Rate measurements

- Experimental campaign with NPL
- Utilised the Secondary Standard Calorimeter.
 - Designed to be size of Roos chamber
 - Could be used in clinical QA
 - o aim of it being traceable to NPL
- Also experimental TransCal designed by S. Flynn to measure FLASH doses
 - Thin which minimally perturbs the beam
 - None of the temperature shielding of the calorimeters so best suited for high dose rates
- Beam current monitored using lonisation chamber and/or Faraday cup depending on dose rates.
- Publication under review
- Dose rates up to 2.5 kGy/s measured in SSCal.
- Higher dose rates suffered from cyclotron vacuum leak so more fluctuations



Conclusions

- Experimental work conducted at MC40 as part of ITRF
 - \odot WP3 scintillator studies
 - \odot WP4 liquid scintillator and SmartPhantom testing
 - WP5 Gas Profiler measurements (planned for August 2024)
 - \odot Dose rate measurements with NPL
 - \odot Validated Geant4 model assisting with these studies
- G4EndStation will be tidied up and made available to ITRF members at the end of PA1
- MC40 model paper needs to be submitted
- Microbeam paper being written, poster at FRPT, Josie & Jason using for cell measurements
- Dose rates up to 2.5 kGy/s achieved