PoPLaR Meeting 25/07/2024



3 Quad combination



Quads were optimised 3 ways

1. By symmetry- making the x and y dimensions as similar as possible at the final delivery.

2. By gradient- making the beam as horizontal as possible when arriving to the sample.

3. A combination of both (perhaps not as sophisticated)

10 MeV beam profile- symmetric optimisation



Drift 1- 16.7cm Drift 2- 19.2cm Drift 3- 15cm Drift 4- 1.181m

10 MeV beam profile- gradient optimisation



Drift 1- 16.7cm Drift 2- 19.2cm Drift 3- 15.4cm Drift 4- 1.77m

10 MeV beam profile- combined optimisation



Drift 1- 16.7cm Drift 2- 19.2cm Drift 3- 15cm Drift 4- 1.181m

Next steps

1. Look at the particles that made it to the source by running a particle by particle visual

10 MeV pbp visual colour coded for transmission



Next steps

- 1. Add apertures & collimators
- 2. Move first quad doublet closer to the source by limiting the search space of the gaussian
- 3. Repeat for other energies
- 4. Plot the particle progression plots to see the spot size and distribution of the beam/ energy at the source

Updates

Beam

1.8m

1m



4 Quad combination



Beam



To Improve:

- Find optimal collimator sizes
- Find optimal position of the second quad doublet

Beam Optimisation- Collimator 1



 $\overline{}$

Collimator

Mapping the positions of the particles with 10MeV (+/- 5%) at 3cm:

- Mean & std similar in x and y- use circular collimator
- 1cm radius circular aperture decided on

Beam Optimisation- Quad doublet 1



Bayesian Optimisation showed optimal positions of:

- Focus quad: 8.1cm from colimator
- Defocus quad: 6.8cm from fquad
- Colimator 2: 14.6cm from dquad

Beam Optimisation- Collimator 2



Beam Optimisation- Quad doublet 2 & end



Next steps:

- Bayesian optimisation for positioning of second quad doublet
- Repeat colimator selection process for final colimator

First run example



Problems:

- Large spread
- Large gradient

Thoughts:

Run for pencil/gaussian first then implement with laser driven source